arXiv:1111.3380v2 [math.NT] 28 Jun 2012

ON THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CONSECUTIVE PRIME NUMBERS, I

D. A. GOLDSTON* AND A. H. LEDOAN

Abstract. We show by an inclusion-exclusion argument that the prime k-tuple conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood provides an asymptotic formula for the number of consecutive prime numbers which are a specified distance apart. This refines one aspect of a theorem of Gallagher that the prime k-tuple conjecture implies that the prime numbers are distributed in a Poisson distribution around their average spacing.

1. Introduction and statement of results

In 1976, Gallagher [5], [6] showed that a uniform version of the prime k-tuple conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood implies that the prime numbers are distributed in a Poisson distribution around their average spacing. Specifically, let $P_r(h, N)$ denote the number of positive integers n less than or equal to N such that the interval $(n, n+h]$ contains exactly r prime numbers. Gallagher then proved that an appropriate form of the prime k-tuple conjecture implies, for any positive constant λ and $h \sim \lambda \log N$ as $N \to \infty$, that

$$P_r(h, N) \sim e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^r}{r!} N.$$

In particular, if $r = 0$, then we obtain by an argument using the prime number theorem that, as $N \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{\substack{p_{n+1} \le N \\ p_{n+1} - p_n \ge \lambda \log n}} 1 \sim e^{-\lambda} \frac{N}{\log N}. \tag{1}$$

Here, p_n is used to denote the nth prime number. The purpose of the present paper is to obtain a refinement of (1), which shows that the Poisson distribution of the prime numbers in short intervals extends down to the individual differences between consecutive prime numbers. To obtain this result, we employ a version of the prime k-tuple conjecture formulated as Conjecture H in Section 2, which is equivalent to the form of the conjecture used by Gallagher.

Theorem. *Assume Conjecture H. Let d be any positive integer, and let p be a prime number. Let, further,*

$$\mathfrak{S}(d) = \begin{cases} 2C_2 \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\ p > 2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right), & \text{if } d \text{ is even;} \\ 0, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_2 = \prod_{p > 2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) = 0.66016\ldots,$$

and define

$$N(x, d) = \sum_{\substack{p_{n+1} \le x \\ p_{n+1} - p_n = d}} 1,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11N05; Secondary 11P32, 11N36.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Littlewood prime k-tuple conjecture; prime numbers; singular series.

*During the preparation of this work, the first author received support from the National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1104434.

where p_n denotes the nth prime number. Then for any positive constant λ and d even with $d \sim \lambda \log x$ as $x \to \infty$, we have

$$N(x,d) \sim e^{-\lambda}\mathfrak{S}(d)\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}. \tag{2}$$

Here, we note that $\mathfrak{S}(d)$ is the singular series in the conjectured asymptotic formula for the number of prime pairs differing by d. Our theorem shows that, for consecutive prime numbers, the Poisson density is superimposed onto this formula for prime pairs.

Our theorem as well as its proof are implicitly contained in the 1999 paper of Odlyzko, Rubinstein and Wolf [11] on jumping champions.[1] Without claiming any originality, we think it is worthwhile to explicitly state and prove (2). More precise results when $d/\log x \to 0$ will be addressed in a second paper.

2. The Hardy-Littlewood prime k-tuple conjectures

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$ be a set of k distinct integers. Let $\pi(x;\mathcal{H})$ denote the number of positive integers n less than or equal to x for which $n+h_1, \dots, n+h_k$ are simultaneously prime numbers. Then the prime k-tuple conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood [8] is that, for $x \to \infty$,

$$\pi(x;\mathcal{H}) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})\,\mathrm{li}_k(x), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_p \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k}\left(1-\frac{\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p)}{p}\right),$$

$\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p)$ denotes the number of distinct residue classes modulo p occupied by the elements of $\mathcal{H}$, and

$$\mathrm{li}_k(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^k}. \tag{4}$$

Note in particular that, if $\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p) = p$ for some prime number p, then $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$. However, if $\nu_{\mathcal{H}}(p) < p$ for all prime numbers p, then $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) \neq 0$ in which case the set $\mathcal{H}$ is called *admissible*. In (3), $\mathcal{H}$ is assumed to be admissible, since otherwise $\pi(x;\mathcal{H})$ is equal to 0 or 1.

The prime k-tuple conjecture has been verified only for the prime number theorem. That is to say, for the case of $k = 1$. It has been asserted that, in its strongest form, the conjecture holds true for any fixed integer k with an error term that is $O_k(x^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ at most and uniformly for $\mathcal{H} \subset [1,x]$. (See Montgomery and Soundararajan [9], [10].) However, we do not need such strong conjectures here. Using

$$\mathrm{li}_k(x) = \frac{x}{(\log x)^k} + O\left(\frac{kx}{(\log x)^{k+1}}\right), \tag{5}$$

obtained from integration by parts, we replace $\mathrm{li}_k(x)$ by its main term and make the following conjecture.

Conjecture H. *For each fixed integer $k \geq 2$ and admissible set $\mathcal{H}$, we have*

$$\pi(x;\mathcal{H}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}(1+o_k(1)),$$

uniformly for $\mathcal{H} \subset [1,h]$, where $h \sim \lambda \log x$ as $x \to \infty$ and λ is a positive constant.

3. Inclusion-exclusion for consecutive prime numbers

The prime k-tuple conjecture for the case when $k = 2$ provides an asymptotic formula for the number of prime numbers with a given difference d. We need to find a corresponding formula where we restrict the count to prime numbers that are consecutive, and for this one can use the prime k-tuple conjecture with $k = 3, 4, \dots$ and inclusion-exclusion to obtain upper and lower bounds for the number of consecutive prime numbers with difference d. This method has appeared in a series of papers of Brent [1], [2], [3] and was used by Erdős and Strauss [4] and Odlyzko, Rubinstein and Wolf [11] in their study of jumping champions.

[1] An integer d is called a jumping champion for a given x if d is the most frequently occurring difference between consecutive prime numbers up to x.

We consider a special type of tuple $\mathcal{D}_k$ for which

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \{0, d\}$$

and, for $k \geq 3$,

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \{0, d_1, \dots, d_{k-2}, d\}.$$

Here, we require that d is even. We want to count the number of consecutive prime numbers which do not exceed x and have difference d, namely $N(x, d)$, and for this we do inclusion-exclusion with

$$\pi_2(x, d) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p - p' = d}} 1,$$

where p' is also a prime number and, for $k \geq 3$,

$$\pi_k(x, d_1, \dots, d_{k-2}, d) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p - p' = d \\ p - p_j = d_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq k-2}} 1.$$

Inserting the expected main term, we obtain

$$\pi_2(x, d) = \mathfrak{S}(d) \operatorname{li}_2(x) + R_2(x, d) \tag{6}$$

and, for $k \geq 3$,

$$\pi_k(x, d_1, \dots, d_{k-2}, d) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{D}_k) \operatorname{li}_k(x) + R_k(x, \mathcal{D}_k). \tag{7}$$

We now carry out the inclusion-exclusion. We trivially have

$$N(x, d) \leq \pi_2(x, d).$$

The consecutive prime numbers that differ by d are those prime numbers p and p' satisfying $p - p' = d$ such that there is no third prime number p'' with $p' < p'' < p$. We can exclude these non-consecutive prime numbers differing by d by removing all triples of this form, although this will exclude the same non-consecutive pair of prime numbers more than once if there are quadruples of prime numbers such that $p' < p'' < p''' < p$. Hence, writing $p - p'' = d'$, we obtain the lower bound

$$N(x, d) \geq \pi_2(x, d) - \sum_{1 \leq d' < d} \pi_3(x, d', d).$$

We next obtain an upper bound by including the quadruples eliminated in the previous step and continue in this fashion to get, for $R \geq 1$,

$$Q_{2R+1}(x, d) \leq N(x, d) \leq Q_{2R}(x, d), \tag{8}$$

where, for $N \geq 2$,

$$Q_N(x, d) = \pi_2(x, d) + \sum_{k=3}^{N} (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_{k-2} < d} \pi_k(x, d_1, \dots, d_{k-2}, d).$$

We use the convention here that an empty sum has the value zero.

To evaluate $Q_N(x, d)$, we require a special type of singular series average considered by Odlyzko, Rubinstein and Wolf. Let, for $k \geq 3$,

$$A_k(d) = \sum_{1 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_{k-2} < d} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{D}_k). \tag{9}$$

Odlyzko, Rubinstein and Wolf [11] proved that, for $k \geq 3$,

$$A_k(d) = \mathfrak{S}(d) \frac{d^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} + E_k(d), \tag{10}$$

where

$$E_k(d) = O_k\left(\frac{d^{k-2}}{\log\log d}\right). \tag{11}$$

(See, also, Goldston and Ledoan [7].) Thus, on substituting (6), (7), (9) and (10), we find that, for $N \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_N(x,d) &= \mathfrak{S}(d)\,\mathrm{li}_2(x) + \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k A_k(d)\,\mathrm{li}_k(x) + R_2(x,d) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k \sum_{1\leq d_1<\ldots<d_{k-2}<d} R_k(x,\mathcal{D}_k) \\ &= \mathfrak{S}(d)\int_2^x \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{-d}{\log t}\right)^k\right]\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} + \int_2^x \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k E_k(d)\frac{dt}{(\log t)^k} \\ &\quad + R_2(x,d) + \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k \sum_{1\leq d_1<\ldots<d_{k-2}<d} R_k(x,\mathcal{D}_k), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4) in the second line.

We can extract a main term independent of N out of the first term on the far right-hand side above by using Taylor's theorem. With the remainder expressed in Lagrange's form, we have that, for $M \geq 0$ and $x > 0$,

$$e^{-x} = \sum_{k=0}^{M}\frac{1}{k!}(-x)^k + \frac{e^{-\xi}}{(M+1)!}(-x)^{M+1},$$

where ξ lies in the open interval joining 0 and x. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{-d}{\log t}\right)^k\right]\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} &= \int_2^x \exp\left(\frac{-d}{\log t}\right)\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_2^x \frac{1}{(N-1)!}\left(\frac{d}{\log t}\right)^{N-1}\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2}\right) \\ &= \int_2^x \exp\left(\frac{-d}{\log t}\right)\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(\frac{3d}{N\log x}\right)^{N-1}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by the Stirling formula

$$(M-1)! = \sqrt{2\pi}M^{M-1/2}e^{-M}\left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right)\right].$$

Therefore, we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma. *For $N \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_N(x,d) &= \mathfrak{S}(d)I(x,d) + \int_2^x \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k E_k(d)\frac{dt}{(\log t)^k} + R_2(x,d) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{N}(-1)^k \sum_{1\leq d_1<\ldots<d_{k-2}<d} R_k(x,\mathcal{D}_k) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(\frac{3d}{N\log x}\right)^{N-1}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(x,d) = \int_2^x \exp\left(\frac{-d}{\log t}\right)\frac{dt}{(\log t)^2}.$$

4. Proof of the theorem

If we had imposed the additional condition that $n + h_j$ is less than or equal to x, for $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, in the definition of $\pi(x; \mathcal{H})$ in Section 2, we would have that $\pi_2(n, d) = \pi(x; \mathcal{D}_2)$ and, for $k \geq 3$, $\pi_k(x, d_1, \dots, d_{k-2}, d) = \pi(x; \mathcal{D}_k)$. However, this condition has no effect on Conjecture H, since with $\mathcal{H} \subset [1, h]$ the condition removes at most h tuples, which are absorbed into the error term. Thus, assuming that Conjecture H holds true for $k \leq N$, we have that $R_2(x, d) = o(\mathfrak{S}(d)x/(\log x)^2)$, $R_k(x, \mathcal{D}_k) = o_k(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{D}_k)x/(\log x)^k)$ and, by (11), $E_k(d) = o_k(d^{k-2})$. Then by the lemma and since $\mathfrak{S}(d) \gg 1$ for d even, we have, for $x \to \infty$ and $d \sim \lambda \log x$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_N(x, d) &= \mathfrak{S}(d)I(x, d) + o_N\left(e^{2\lambda}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) + o\left(\mathfrak{S}(d)\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{N} \sum_{1 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_{k-2} < d} o_k\left(\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{D}_k)\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(\frac{3\lambda}{N}\right)^{N-1}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{S}(d)I(x, d) + o_N\left(e^{2\lambda}\mathfrak{S}(d)\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\left(\frac{4\lambda}{N}\right)^{N}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, on letting N tend to infinity sufficiently slowly, the theorem follows from the estimate

$$I(x, d) \sim e^{-\lambda}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}$$

and (8).

To prove this last estimate, we let $\bar{d} = d/\log x$ and apply (5) to obtain the upper bound

$$I(x, d) \leq e^{-\bar{d}}\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} = e^{-\bar{d}}\left[\frac{x}{(\log x)^2} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^3}\right)\right],$$

and the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, d) &\geq \exp\left(\frac{-d}{\log x - \log\log x}\right)\int_{x/\log x}^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^2} \\ &\geq \exp\left[-\bar{d}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log x}\right)\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{li}_2(x) - \mathrm{li}_2\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)\right] \\ &\geq e^{-\bar{d}}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\left[1 + O\left(\frac{\bar{d}\log\log x}{\log x}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$I(x, d) = e^{-\bar{d}}\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\left[1 + O\left(\frac{\bar{d}\log\log x}{\log x}\right)\right],$$

and the required estimate now follows since, if $d \sim \lambda \log x$, $\bar{d} \sim \lambda$ as $x \to \infty$. Hence, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Acknowledgment. *The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referee for his comments on the earlier version of this paper.*

References

[1] R. P. Brent, *The distribution of small gaps between successive primes*, Math. Comp. **28** (1974), 315–324.
[2] R. P. Brent, *Irregularities in the distribution of primes and twin primes*, in *Collection of articles dedicated to Derrick Henry Lehmer on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*, Math. Comp. **29** (1975), 43–56.
[3] R. P. Brent, Correction to: *Irregularities in the distribution of primes and twin primes* (Math. Comp. **29** (1975), 43–56), Math. Comput. **30** (1976), no. 133, 198.
[4] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, *Remarks on the differences between consecutive primes*, Elem. Math. **35** (1980), no. 5, 115–118.

[5] P. X. Gallagher, *On the distribution of primes in short intervals*, Mathematika **23** (1976), 4–9.
[6] P. X. Gallagher, Corrigendum: *On the distribution of primes in short intervals* (Mathematika **23** (1976), 4–9), Mathematika **28** (1981), no. 1, 86.
[7] D. A. Goldston and A. H. Ledoan, *The jumping champion conjecture*, submitted for publication (2012), available at `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1102.4879v1`.
[8] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of 'Partitio numerorum'; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes*, Acta Math. **44** (1922), no. 1, 1–70. Reprinted as pp. 561–630 in *Collected Papers of G. H. Hardy*, Vol. I (including joint papers with J. E. Littlewood and others; edited by a committee appointed by the London Mathematical Society), Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1966.
[9] H. L. Montgomery and K. Soundararajan, *Beyond pair correlation*, in: *Erdős and his mathematics, I* (Budapest, 1999), 507–514; Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. **11**, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2002.
[10] H. L. Montgomery and K. Soundararajan, *Primes in short intervals*, Comm. Math. Phys. **252** (2004), no. 1-3, 589–617.
[11] A. Odlyzko, M. Rubinstein and M. Wolf, *Jumping champions*, Experiment. Math. **8** (1999), no. 2, 107–118.

Department of Mathematics, San José State University, 315 MacQuarrie Hall, One Washington Square, San José, California 95192-0103, United States of America

E-mail address: `daniel.goldston@sjsu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, 417F EMCS Building (Department 6956), 615 McCallie Avenue, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37403-2598, United States of America

E-mail address: `andrew-ledoan@utc.edu`